APPENDIX B - AIR QUALITY

Page: 1 5/1/2009 12:03:52 PM

Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4

Combined Summer Emissions Reports (Pounds/Day)

File Name: C:\Documents and Settings\smyers\Application Data\Urbemis\Version9a\Projects\Rocklin General Plan-Existing Conditions.urb924

Project Name: City of Rocklin General Plan-Existing Conditions

Project Location: Placer County APCD

On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

Summary Report:

AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES											
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>				
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	1,489.07	296.22	879.07	0.03	2.51	2.48	365,042.52				
OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES											
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	PM2.5	<u>CO2</u>				
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	2,665.38	3,534.63	30,560.50	26.50	4,593.27	893.79	2,705,230.79				
SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES											
·	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	PM2.5	<u>CO2</u>				
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	4,154.45	3,830.85	31,439.57	26.53	4,595.78	896.27	3,070,273.31				

5/1/2009 12:03:52 PM		,							
Area Source Unmitigated Detail Report: AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES Summer Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated									
Source	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>		
Natural Gas	22.01	287.90	142.39	0.00	0.55	0.54	363,857.69		
Hearth - No Summer Emissions									
Landscape	132.21	8.32	736.68	0.03	1.96	1.94	1,184.83		
Consumer Products	1,029.15								
Architectural Coatings	305.70	a adam a fa consistentos son	ore m. of a spin encoding the diplom	e magine difference al survey and ad	11999 (M. 1997) - 1119 (M. 1998) (M. 1997) 1997 - Den State (M. 1997)	nd folg an investigation of the distribution of the	l (in antidari (in a fhrainin ai fh		
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	1,489.07	296.22	879.07	0.03	2.51	2.48	365,042.52		

Area Source Changes to Defaults

Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:									
OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Summer Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated									
Source	ROG	NOX	со	SO2	PM10	PM25	CO2		
Single family housing	1,141.19	1,447.50	12,786.75	10.95	1,886.29	367.30	1,115,832.52		
Apartments low rise	81.83	107.72	951.58	0.81	140,38	27.33	83,039.39		
Apartments mid rise	255.38	319.28	2,820.38	2.41	416.06	81.02	246,119.55		
Mobile home park	21.12	24.90	219.96	0.19	32.45	6.32	19,195.09		
Retail and Commercial	947.63	1,365.71	11,510.32	10.14	1,768.99	343.95	1,036,496.57		
Industrial	127.78	149.36	1,258.79	1.11	193.46	37.61	113,352.74		
Office	90.45	120.16	1,012.72	0.89	155.64	30.26	91,194.93		
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	2,665.38	3,534.63	30,560.50	26.50	4,593.27	893.79	2,705,230.79		

5/1/2009 12:03:52 PM

Operational Settings:

Does not include correction for passby trips Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips Analysis Year: 2010 Temperature (F): 85 Season: Summer Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

	<u>Sun</u>	nmary of Land l	<u>Jses</u>				
Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT	
Single family housing	2,022.60	8.05	dwelling units	15,855.00	127,632.75	1,091,221.77	
Apartments low rise	3,775.62	11.43	dwelling units	831.00	9,498.33	81,207.88	
Apartments mid rise	297.66	7.20	dwelling units	3,910.00	28,152.00	240,691.15	
Mobile home park	73.33	4.99	dwelling units	440.00	2,195.60	18,771.72	
Retail and Commercial		45.04	1000 sq ft	3,074.60	138,479.99	1,023,782.56	
Industrial		4.96	1000 sq ft	3,053.30	15,144.37	111,962.31	
Office		11.42	1000 sq ft	1,066.90	12,184.00	90,076.30	
					333,287.04	2,657,713.69	
		Vehicle Fleet	Mix				
Vehicle Type	Percer	іt Туре	Non-Cata	lyst	Catalyst	Dies	se
Light Auto		39.8		1.3	98.4	C).:
Light Truck < 3750 lbs		14.2		2.8	88.7	٤	3.5
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs		22.4		0.9	98.7	C).4

enicie Type	Fercent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesei
ight Auto	39.8	1.3	98.4	0.3
ight Truck < 3750 lbs	14.2	2.8	88.7	8.5
ight Truck 3751-5750 lbs	22.4	0.9	98.7	0.4
led Truck 5751-8500 lbs	11.0	0.9	99.1	0.0
ite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	2.6	0.0	73.1	26.9
ite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	44.4	55.6

5/1/2009 12:03:52 PM

Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	22.2	77.8
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	1.2	0.0	0.0	100.0
Other Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Urban Bus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Motorcycle	5.5	67.3	32.7	0.0
School Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Motor Home	1.3	0.0	84.6	15.4

Travel Conditions

		Residential			Commercial			
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commute	Non-Work	Customer		
Urban Trip Length (miles)	10.8	7.3	7.5	9.5	7.4	7.4		
Rural Trip Length (miles)	16.8	7.1	7.9	14.7	6.6	6.6		
Trip speeds (mph)	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0		
% of Trips - Residential	32.9	18.0	49.1					

% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)			
Retail and Commercial	2.0	1.0	97.0
Industrial	2.0	1.0	97.0
Office	2.0	1.0	97.0

Page: 1 5/1/2009 12:04:26 PM

Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4

Combined Winter Emissions Reports (Pounds/Day)

File Name: C:\Documents and Settings\smyers\Application Data\Urbemis\Version9a\Projects\Rocklin General Plan-Existing Conditions.urb924 Project Name: City of Rocklin General Plan-Existing Conditions Project Location: Placer County APCD

On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

Summary Report:

AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES

	ROG	NOx	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>				
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	5,588.43	791.57	22,432.74	69.42	3,579.51	3,445.46	1,062,450.56				
OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES											
	<u>ROG</u>	<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>				
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	3,112.08	5,063.94	34,757.24	23.64	4,593.27	893.79	2,362,386.83				
SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES											
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>				
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	8,700,51	5.855.51	57,189,98	93.06	8.172.78	4.339.25	3,424,837,39				

5/1/2009 12:04:26 PM										
Area Source Unmitigated Detail Repo	rt:									
AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES Winter Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated										
Source	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>			
Natural Gas •	22.01	287.90	142.39	0.00	0.55	0.54	363,857.69			
Hearth Landscaping - No Winter Emissions	4,231.57	503.67	22,290.35	69.42	3,578.96	3,444.92	698,592.87			
Consumer Products	1,029.15									
Architectural Coatings	305.70									
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	5,588.43	791.57	22,432.74	69.42	3,579.51	3,445.46	1,062,450.56			

Area Source Changes to Defaults

Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:

OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Winter Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated

Source	ROG	NOX	CO	SO2	PM10	PM25	CO2
Single family housing	1,275.35	2,075.93	14,367.81	9.76	1,886.29	367.30	975,065.37
Apartments low rise	94.91	154.49	1,069.24	0.73	140.38	27.33	72,563.61
Apartments mid rise	281.31	457.89	3,169.11	2.15	416.06	81.02	215,070.50
Mobile home park	21.94	35.71	247.16	0,17	32.45	6.32	16,773.54
Retail and Commercial	1,201.47	1,954.26	13,282.65	9.04	1,768.99	343.95	904,429.05
Industrial	131.39	213.72	1,452.61	0.99	193.46	37.61	98,909.64
Office	105.71	171.94	1,168.66	0.80	155.64	30.26	79,575.12
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	3,112.08	5,063.94	34,757.24	23.64	4,593.27	893.79	2,362,386.83

Page: 3 5/1/2009 12:04:26 PM Operational Settings:

Does not include correction for passby trips Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips Analysis Year: 2010 Temperature (F): 40 Season: Winter Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Summary of Land Uses											
Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT					
Single family housing	2,022.60	8.05	dwelling units	15,855.00	127,632.75	1,091,221.77					
Apartments low rise	3,775.62	11.43	dwelling units	831.00	9,498.33	81,207.88					
Apartments mid rise	297.66	7.20	dwelling units	3,910.00	28,152.00	240,691.15					
Mobile home park	73.33	4.99	dwelling units	440.00	2,195.60	18,771.72					
Retail and Commercial		45.04	1000 sq ft	3,074.60	138,479.99	1,023,782.56					
Industrial		4.96	1000 sq ft	3,053.30	15,144.37	111,962.31					
Office		11.42	1000 sq ft	1,066.90	12,184.00	90,076.30					
					333,287.04	2,657,713.69					

Vehicle Fleet Mix								
Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel				
Light Auto	39.8	1.3	98.4	0.3				
Light Truck < 3750 lbs	14.2	2.8	88.7	8.5				
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs	22.4	0.9	98.7	0.4				
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs	11.0	0.9	99.1	0.0				
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	2.6	0.0	73.1	26.9				
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	44.4	55.6				

Industrial

Office

5/1/2009 12:04:26 PM

Vehicle Fleet Mix										
Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel						
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	22.2	77.8						
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	1.2	0.0	0.0	100.0						
Other Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0						
Urban Bus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Motorcycle	5.5	67.3	32.7	0.0						
School Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0						
Motor Home	1.3	0.0	84.6	15.4						

Travel Conditions

	Residential					
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commute	Non-Work	Customer
Urban Trip Length (miles)	10.8	7.3	7.5	9.5	7.4	7.4
Rural Trip Length (miles)	16.8	7.1	7.9	14.7	6.6	6.6
Trip speeds (mph)	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0
% of Trips - Residential	32.9	18.0	49.1			
% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)						
Retail and Commercial				2.0	1.0	97.0

2.0	1.0
2.0	1.0
2.0	1.0

97.0 97.0 97.0

Page: 1 5/1/2009 12:05:47 PM

Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4

Combined Summer Emissions Reports (Pounds/Day)

File Name: C:\Documents and Settings\smyers\Application Data\Urbemis\Version9a\Projects\Rocklin General Plan-Resultant Conditions.urb924 Project Name: City of Rocklin General Plan-Resultant Conditions Project Location: Placer County APCD On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006 Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

Summary Report:

AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES										
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>			
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	2,132.35	484.07	1,276.29	0.05	3.59	3.55	594,196.17			
OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES										
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>			
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	5,554.28	7,516.47	64,372.81	56.16	9,755.79	1,897.80	5,734,658.98			
SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISS	SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES									
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>			
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	7,686.63	8,000.54	65,649.10	56.21	9,759.38	1,901.35	6,328,855.15			

5/1/2009 12:05:47 PM

Area Source Unmitigated Detail Report:

AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES Summer Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated

Source	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
Natural Gas	35.85	472.59	259.23	0.00	0.89	0.88	592,561.14
Hearth - No Summer Emissions							
Landscape	182.88	11.48	1,017.06	0.05	2.70	2.67	1,635.03
Consumer Products	1,425.37						
Architectural Coatings	488.25						
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	2,132.35	484.07	1,276.29	0.05	3.59	3.55	594,196.17

Area Source Changes to Defaults

Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:									
OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Summer Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated									
Source	ROG	NOX	со	SO2	PM10	PM25	CO2		
Single family housing	1,496.41	1,883.00	16,633.81	14.24	2,453.80	477.81	1,451,545.17		
Apartments low rise	111.47	146.61	1,295.12	1.11	191.05	37.20	1.13,018.46		
Apartments mid rise	365.27	458.92	4,053.94	3.47	598.03	116.45	353,766.17		
Mobile home park	29.47	34,75	306.95	0.26	45.28	8.82	26,785.87		
Retail and Commercial	2,741.15	3,950.51	33,295.18	29.34	5,117.05	994.92	2,998,207.73		
Industrial	213.38	249.42	2,102.17	1.85	323.08	62.82	189,298.66		
Office	597.13	793.26	6,685.64	5.89	1,027.50	199.78	602,036.92		
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	5,554.28	7,516.47	64,372.81	56.16	9,755.79	1,897.80	5,734,658.98		

Page: 3 5/1/2009 12:05:47 PM Operational Settings:

Does not include correction for passby trips Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips Analysis Year: 2010 Temperature (F): 85 Season: Summer Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Summary of Land Uses											
Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT					
Single family housing	2,086.07	7.56	dwelling units	21,962.00	166,032.72	1,419,529.96					
Apartments low rise	3,406.20	11.32	dwelling units	1,142.00	12,927.44	110,525.73					
Apartments mid rise	485.79	7.47	dwelling units	5,417.00	40,464.99	345,963.52					
Mobile home park	102.33	4.99	dwelling units	614.00	3,063.86	26,195.08					
Retail and Commercial		45.04	1000 sq ft	8,893.70	400,572.26	2,961,430.72					
Industrial		4.96	1000 sq ft	5,099.00	25,291.04	186,976.66					
Office		11.42	1000 sq ft	7,043.30	80,434.48	594,652.13					
					728,786.79	5,645,273.80					

.. ...

Vehicle Fleet Mix									
Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel					
Light Auto	39.8	1.3	98.4	0.3					
Light Truck < 3750 lbs	14.2	2.8	88.7	8.5					
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs	22.4	0.9	98.7	0.4					
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs	11.0	0.9	99.1	0.0					
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	2.6	0.0	73.1	26.9					
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	44.4	55.6					

5/1/2009 12:05:47 PM

Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel					
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	22.2	77.8					
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	1.2	0.0	0.0	100.0					
Other Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0					
Urban Bus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Motorcycle	5.5	67.3	32.7	0.0					
School Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0					
Motor Home	1.3	0.0	84.6	15.4					

Travel Conditions

		Residential			Commercial		
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commute	Non-Work	Customer	
Urban Trip Length (miles)	10.8	7.3	7.5	9.5	7.4	7.4	
Rural Trip Length (miles)	16.8	7.1	7.9	14.7	6.6	6.6	
Trip speeds (mph)	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
% of Trips - Residential	32.9	18.0	49.1				
% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)							

Retail and Commercial	2.0	1.0	97.0
Industrial	2.0	1.0	97.0
Office	2.0	1.0	97.0

.

. .

Page: 1 5/1/2009 12:05:58 PM

Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4

Combined Winter Emissions Reports (Pounds/Day)

File Name: C:\Documents and Settings\smyers\Application Data\Urbemis\Version9a\Projects\Rocklin General Plan-Resultant Conditions.urb924 Project Name: City of Rocklin General Plan-Resultant Conditions

Project Location: Placer County APCD

On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

Summary Report:

AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES							
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	PM10	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	7,810.22	1,170.18	31,131.52	96.15	4,957.77	4,772.12	1,560,131.12
OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES							
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	PM10	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	6,615.91	10,763.73	73,608.70	50.08	9,755.79	1,897.80	5,006,421.02
SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES							

	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	14,426.13	11,933.91	104,740.22	146.23	14,713.56	6,669.92	6,566,552.14

Page:	2

5/1/2009 12:05:58 PM							
Area Source Unmitigated Detail Report							
AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATI	ES Winter Pounds Pe	er Day, Unmitiga	ted				
Source	ROG	NOx	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
Natural Gas	35.85	472.59	259.23	0.00	0.89	0.88	592,561.14
Hearth	5,860.75	697.59	30,872.29	96.15	4,956.88	4,771.24	967,569.98
Landscaping - No Winter Emissions	ndar-dagi meleriyan meringan serin.	u nameči ti servalne s ni dela	Sanatasat (j. anto) antantosangunatos	Maranda (Lankskal Hilling), nadi s	an na kalendar an an kana an taon an ta	ar dhe i ear i e fe ta teanaiche facht ei	nu ép, az sol sere seperatoris.
Consumer Products	1,425.37						
Architectural Coatings	488.25	alan an taon an	ur (mindi Servillani Anzalonali Antili Servilla Anal)	n handwar on samt die staar wat die	er non se neer for nij bij út ste skrinde	. Barring - Harring Control of Second	antinantin'i Arthuration
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	7,810.22	1,170.18	31,131.52	96.15	4,957.77	4,772.12	1,560,131.12
	Ar	ea Source Chan	ges to Defaults				
Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:		ter en fan fan fan fan ster ster ster ster ster ster ster ster					
OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATE	ES Winter Pounds Pe	r Day, Unmitigal	ed				
0	Ree	NOV	22	600	DMdo	DM05	000

Source	RUG	NOX	CO	502	PINTU	PMZ5	602
Single family housing	1,659.06	2,700.50	18,690.55	12.70	2,453.80	477.81	1,268,426.40
Apartments low rise	129.18	210.26	1,455.26	0.99	191.05	37.20	98,760.69
Apartments mid rise	404.34	658.16	4,555.20	3.10	598.03	116.45	309,137.02
Mobile home park	30.62	49.83	344.90	0.23	45.28	8.82	23,406.72
Retail and Commercial	3,475.42	5,652.96	38,421.87	26.16	5,117.05	994.92	2,616,184.40
Industrial	219.43	356.91	2,425.85	1.65	323,08	62.82	165,178.75
Office	697.86	1,135.11	7,715.07	5.25	1,027.50	199.78	525,327.04
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	6,615.91	10,763.73	73,608.70	50.08	9,755.79	1,897.80	5,006,421.02
A 19 CONTRACTOR OF A							

Page: 3 5/1/2009 12:05:58 PM Operational Settings:

Does not include correction for passby trips Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips Analysis Year: 2010 Temperature (F): 40 Season: Winter Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Summary of Land Uses								
Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT		
Single family housing	2,086.07	7.56	dwelling units	21,962.00	166,032.72	1,419,529.96		
Apartments low rise	3,406.20	11.32	dwelling units	1,142.00	12,927.44	110,525.73		
Apartments mid rise	485.79	7.47	dwelling units	5,417.00	40,464.99	345,963.52		
Mobile home park	102.33	4.99	dwelling units	614.00	3,063.86	26,195.08		
Retail and Commercial		45.04	1000 sq ft	8,893.70	400,572.26	2,961,430.72		
Industrial		4.96	1000 sq ft	5,099.00	25,291.04	186,976.66		
Office		11.42	1000 sq ft	7,043.30	80,434.48	594,652.13		
					728,786.79	5,645,273.80		

Vehicle Fleet Mix							
Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel			
Light Auto	39.8	1.3	98.4	0.3			
Light Truck < 3750 lbs	14.2	2.8	88.7	8.5			
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs	22.4	0.9	98.7	0.4			
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs	11.0	0.9	99.1	0.0			
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	2.6	0.0	73.1	26.9			
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	44.4	55.6			

5/1/2009 12:05:58 PM

Vehicle Fleet Mix						
Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel		
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	22.2	77.8		
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	1.2	0.0	0.0	100.0		
Other Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0		
Urban Bus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Motorcycle	5.5	67.3	32.7	0.0		
School Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0		
Motor Home	1.3	0.0	84.6	15.4		

Travel Conditions

	Residential				Commercial		
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commute	Non-Work	Customer	
Urban Trip Length (miles)	10.8	7.3	7.5	9.5	7.4	7.4	
Rural Trip Length (miles)	16.8	7.1	7.9	14.7	6.6	6.6	
Trip speeds (mph)	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
% of Trips - Residential	32.9	18.0	49.1				
% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)							
Retail and Commercial				2.0	1.0	97.0	
Industrial				2.0	1.0	97.0	

2.0

1.0

97.0

Office

Localized Mobile-Source Carbon Monoxide Impact Assessment

For

City of Rocklin General Plan Update Rocklin, CA

May 1, 2009

Prepared For:

PMC 2729 Prospect Park Dr. Suite 220 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Prepared By:



Carmichael, CA 95608 Tel/Fax: 916.359.2700

Introduction

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a tasteless, odorless, and colorless gas. If inhaled, CO can be adsorbed easily by the blood stream and can inhibit oxygen delivery to the body, which can cause significant health effects ranging from slight headaches to death. The most serious effects are felt by individuals susceptible to oxygen deficiencies, including people with anemia and those suffering from chronic lung or heart disease. Under specific meteorological and operational conditions, such as near areas of heavily congested roadway intersections, CO concentrations may reach unhealthy levels. As a result, the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) typically recommends analysis of localized mobile-source CO concentrations for roadway intersections project to operate at unacceptable levels of service (i.e., LOS E or F) (Caltrans 1997).

Methodology

Localized concentrations of mobile-source carbon monoxide (CO) concentrations were quantitatively assessed for roadway intersections projected to operate at unacceptable levels of service (i.e., LOS E or F), based on data obtained from the traffic analysis prepared for this project (DKS 2009). Localized carbon monoxide (CO) concentrations were analyzed utilizing the CALINE4 intersection-level screening procedure developed by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD). The BAAQMD screening procedure is based on the CALINE4 computer model, which was developed by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). Mobilesource emission factors used in the analysis were derived from the Emfac2007 computer model for Placer County under January operational conditions. To ensure a conservative analysis, a minimum vehicle speed of 3 miles per hour was assumed for all analyzed roadway segments (Caltrans 1997). For modeling purposes, the highest measured 1-hour and 8-hour ambient CO concentrations (i.e., 2.6 and 1.9 ppm, respectively), obtained from the nearest monitoring stations for the last three years of available data, were used for both near-term and future cumulative conditions (ARB 2009, USEPA 2009). Predicted 8-hour CO concentrations were calculated based on predicted 1-hour CO concentrations and assuming a persistence factor of 0.7. Localized CO concentrations were evaluated for p.m. peak-hour conditions at adversely affected intersections located within the City, as well as neighboring jurisdictions, for both Existing-Plus-Project and Cumulative-Plus-Project conditions. Predicted 1-hour and 8-hour concentrations were compared with applicable California Ambient Air Quality Standards (i.e., 20 and 9 ppm, respectively) for determination of impact significance.

Existing-Plus-Project Conditions

Predicted localized CO concentrations at intersections projected to operate at unacceptable levels of service (i.e., LOS E or F) under existing-plus-project conditions are summarized in Table 1. Based on the modeling conducted, predicted maximum 1hour and 8-hour CO concentrations at modeled roadway intersections would not exceed applicable ambient air quality standards. Given that other area intersections would be predicted to operate at more acceptable levels of service (i.e., less congestion) than those included in this analysis, predicted CO concentrations at other locations would, likewise, not be anticipated to exceed applicable ambient air quality standards. As a result, the project's contribution to near-term localized concentrations of mobile-source CO would be considered less than significant.

Table 1					
Localized Mobile-Source Carbon Monoxide Concentrations					
Existing-Plus-Project Condit	ons Predicted CO Concentrations				
Intersection	(p 1-Hour	pm) 8-Hour			
City of Rocklin					
Pacific Street & Del Mar Avenue/Dominguez Road	5.4	3.0			
Sunset Boulevard & Springview Drive	6.5	3.5			
Sunset Boulevard & West Oaks Boulevard	5.5	3.3			
State Route 65					
State Route 65 & Sunset Boulevard	7.9	4.2			
State Route 65 Northbound & Pleasant Grove Boulevard	7.4	3.9			
Town of Loomis					
Sierra College Boulevard & Taylor Road	5.8	3.3			
City of Roseville					
Pleasant Grove Boulevard & Fairway Drive	7.4	3.9			
California Ambient Air Quality Standards:	20.0	9.0			

Cumulative-Plus-Project Conditions

Predicted localized CO concentrations at intersections projected to operate at unacceptable levels of service (i.e., LOS E or F) under cumulative-plus-project conditions are summarized in Table 2. Based on the modeling conducted, predicted maximum 1-hour and 8-hour CO concentrations at modeled roadway intersections would not exceed applicable ambient air quality standards. Given that other area intersections would be predicted to operate at more acceptable levels of service (i.e., less congestion) than those included in this analysis, predicted CO concentrations at other locations would, likewise, not be anticipated to exceed applicable ambient air quality standards. As a result, the project's contribution to future cumulative localized concentrations of mobile-source CO would be considered less than significant.

Table 2 Localized Mobile-Source Carbon Monoxide Concentrations					
Cumulative-Plus-Project Cond	ditions				
Intersection	Predicted CO Concentrations				
intersection	1-Hour	8-Hour			
City of Rocklin					
Pacific Street & Del Mar Avenue/Dominguez Road	3.4	2.2			
Pacific Street & Farron Street	3.6	2.3			
Rocklin Road & Sierra College Boulevard	3.5	2.3			
Sunset Boulevard & Springview Drive	3.5	2.3			
Sunset Boulevard & Whitney Boulevard	3.8	2.4			
Blue Oaks Boulevard & Lonetree Boulevard	3.7	2.4			
Sunset Boulevard & Atherton Road	3.6	2.3			
Sunset Boulevard & West Oaks Boulevard	3.5	2.3			
W. Stanford Ranch Road & Sunset Boulevard	3.4	2.3			
Stanford Ranch Road & Crest Drive	3.0	2.1			
Interstate 80					
Rocklin Road & I-80 Eastbound	3.4	2.3			
Rocklin Road & I-80 Westbound	3.5	2.3			
Town of Loomis					
Sierra College Boulevard & Taylor Road	3.4	2.3			
Taylor Road & Horseshoe Bar Road	3.1	2.1			
City of Roseville					
Pleasant Grove Boulevard & Fairway Drive	4.3	2.6			
Pleasant Grove Boulevard & Roseville Parkway	3.9	2.6			
Galleria & Roseville Parkway	4.1	2.6			
Roseville Parkway & N. Sunrise Boulevard	4.0	2.5			
Sierra College Boulevard & Secret Ravine Parkway	3.5	2.3			
California Ambient Air Quality Standards:	20.0	9.0			

References

Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD). 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

- California Air Resources Board (ARB). April 30, 2009. California Air Quality Data. Website url: http://www.arb.ca.gov/aqd/aqdpage.htm
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) December 1997. Transportation Project-Level Carbon Monoxide Protocol.
- DKS Associates. 2009. Traffic Analysis for the Proposed City of Rocklin General Plan Update.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). April 30, 2009. AirData. Website url: http://www.epa.gov/air/data/geosel.html.

APPENDIX A EMISSIONS MODELING

CO SCREENING ASSESSMENT: EXISTING PLUS PROJECT

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

PROJECT	Rocklin Gene	ral Plan	
PROJECT LOCATION	Placer County	/	
NEAREST AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATION(S)	Roseville-N S	unrise Blvd	
MONITORING YEARS	2003	2004	2005
HIGHEST MEASURED 1-HR CO CONC. (PPM)	2.4	2.6	2
HIGHEST MEASURED 8-HR CO CONC. (PPM)	1.6	1.9	1.3
HIGHEST 3-YR MEASURED 1-HR CO CONC. (PPM)	2.6		
HIGHEST 3-YR MEASURED 8-HR CO CONC. (PPM)	1.9		

*Monitoring data is based on the highest measured values obtained from the nearest monitoring station for the last three years of available data (i.e., 2003-2005).

REFERENCE CO CONCENTRATIONS

ROADWAY	PRIMARY RC	DAD (Highest	SECONDA	SECONDARY ROAD			
TYPE	Volu	me)	(Highest	Volume)			
	At Edge	<u>At 25'</u>	At Edge	<u>At 25'</u>			
At Grade							
2 lane	14	7.6	3.7	2.7			
4 lane	11.9	7	3.3	2.6			
6 lane	9.5	6.1	2.8	2.3			
8 lane	8.5	5.7	2.6	2.2			
Depressed 15	feet						
2 lane	20.9	8.2	4.8	2.4			
8 lane	15.4	6.3	3.7	1.9			
Depressed 30	feet						
2 lane	26.8	7.9	5.2	3.2			
8 lane	21.3	6	4.1	2.7			
Elevated 15 fe	et						
2 lane	14	7.3	3.7	2.6			
8 lane	8.5	5.4	2.6	2.1			
Elevated 30 fe	et						
2 lane	14	7.3	3.6	2.6			
8 lane	8.5	5.4	2.5	2.1			
EMISSION FA	CTORS						

EMFAC2007 LOCATION: Placer Couty MONTH: JANUARY TEMP: 35F YEAR: 2009 <u>SPEED(MPH)</u> <u>EF</u> 3 8.343

Emfac modeling assumptions based on Caltrans-recommended screening defaults (Caltrans 1997).

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): PACIFIC ST & DEL MAR/DOMINGUEZ PROJECT SCENARIO: EXISTING PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED SOURCI CONTRIB	MOBILE- E CO UTION				
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
PM PEAK HOUR												
	Primary Road	2	14.00	7.60	2031.00	8.343	2.37	1.29				
	Secondary Road	2	3.70	2.70	1259.00	8.343	0.39	0.28	2.6	1.9	5.4	3.0
										CAAQS:	20.0	9.0
										EXCEEDS:	NO	NO

NOTES:

CO screening methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines. Emission factors derived from Emfac2007.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.



РМ



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED SOURCE CONTRIBL	MOBILE- E CO JTION				
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
РМ	Primary Road Secondary Road	4 2	11.90 3.70	7.00 2.70	3649.00 992.00	8.343 8.343	3.62 0.31	2.13 0.22	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	6.5 20.0 NO	3.5 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO screening methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines. Emission factors derived from Emfac2007.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): SUNSET & W OAKS PROJECT SCENARIO: EXISTING PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED N SOURCE CONTRIBU	IOBILE- CO TION				
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
PM PEAK HOUR	Primary Road	6	9.50	6.10	3207.00	8.343	2.54	1.63				
	Secondary Road	6	2.80	2.30	1695.00	8.343	0.40	0.33	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	5.5 20.0 NO	3.3 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO screening methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines. Emission factors derived from Emfac2007.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): SR65 & SUNSET BLVD PROJECT SCENARIO: EXISTING PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC		ESTIMATED SOURCI CONTRIB	MOBILE- E CO UTION					
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	<u>,</u> <u>AT 25 FEET</u>	HIGHEST TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR <u>CONC</u>	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR <u>CONC</u>
PM PEAK HOUR	D		44.00	7.00	4050.00	0.040	4.00	0.00				
	Primary Road	4	11.90	7.00	4850.00	8.343	4.82	2.83		_		
	Secondary Road	4	3.30	2.60	1921.00	8.343	0.53	0.42	2.6	1.9	7.9	4.2
										CAAQS:	20.0	9.0
										EXCEEDS:	NO	NO

NOTES:

NOTES: CO screening methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines. Emission factors derived from Emfac2007. Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data. 1-hour concentrations are calculated at the roadway edge. 8-hr concentrations are calculated at 25 feet and assume a persistence factor of 0.7.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): PLEASANT GROVE & SR65 NB PROJECT SCENARIO: EXISTING PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM

ΡM



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED SOURCE CONTRIBL	MOBILE- E CO JTION				
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	<u></u> <u>AT 25 FEET</u>	HIGHEST TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR <u>CONC</u>	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
РМ	Primary Road	4	11.90	7.00	4340.00	8.343	4.31	2.53				
	Secondary Road	2	3.70	2.70	1456.00	8.343	0.45	0.33	2.6	1.9	7.4	3.9
										EXCEEDS:	NO	NO

NOTES:

CO screening methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data. 1-hour concentrations are calculated at the roadway edge. 8-hr concentrations are calculated at 25 feet and assume a persistence factor of 0.7.



N-S E-W 2778 1756

PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED M SOURCE CONTRIBU	IOBILE- CO TION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> <u>TRAFFIC</u> <u>VOLUME</u>	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
РМ	Primary Road Secondary Road	4 4	11.90 3.30	7.00 2.60	2778.00 1756.00	8.343 8.343	2.76 0.48	1.62 0.38	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	5.8 20.0 NO	3.3 9.0 NO

EAST

NOTES:

CO screening methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): PLEASANT GROVE AND FAIRWAY PROJECT SCENARIO: EXISTING PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED N SOURCE CONTRIBU	NOBILE- CO JTION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> <u>ROADWAY</u> <u>EDGE</u>	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
РМ	Primary Road Secondary Road	4 4	11.90 3.30	7.00 2.60	4282.00 1879.00	8.343 8.343	4.25 0.52	2.50 0.41	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	7.4 20.0 NO	3.9 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO screening methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

CO SCREENING ASSESSMENT: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

PROJECT	Rocklin Gene	ral Plan	
PROJECT LOCATION	Placer County	/	
NEAREST AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATION(S)	Roseville-N S	unrise Blvd	
MONITORING YEARS	2003	2004	2005
HIGHEST MEASURED 1-HR CO CONC. (PPM):	2.4	2.6	2
HIGHEST MEASURED 8-HR CO CONC. (PPM)	1.6	1.9	1.3
HIGHEST 3-YR MEASURED 1-HR CO CONC. (PPM):	2.6		
HIGHEST 3-YR MEASURED 8-HR CO CONC. (PPM)	1.9		

*Monitoring data is based on the highest measured values obtained from the nearest monitoring station for the last three years of available data (i.e., 2003-2005).

REFERENCE CO CONCENTRATIONS

ROADWAY	PRIMARY RC	DAD (Highest	SECONDA	RY ROAD
TYPE	Volu	me)	(Highest	Volume)
	At Edge	<u>At 25'</u>	At Edge	<u>At 25'</u>
At Grade				
2 lane	14	7.6	3.7	2.7
4 lane	11.9	7	3.3	2.6
6 lane	9.5	6.1	2.8	2.3
8 lane	8.5	5.7	2.6	2.2
Depressed 15	feet			
2 lane	20.9	8.2	4.8	2.4
8 lane	15.4	6.3	3.7	1.9
Depressed 30	feet			
2 lane	26.8	7.9	5.2	3.2
8 lane	21.3	6	4.1	2.7
Elevated 15 fe	eet			
2 lane	14	7.3	3.7	2.6
8 lane	8.5	5.4	2.6	2.1
Elevated 30 fe	eet			
2 lane	14	7.3	3.6	2.6
8 lane	8.5	5.4	2.5	2.1
EMISSION FA	ACTORS			

LOCATION: Placer Couty MONTH: JANUARY TEMP: 35F YEAR: 2030 <u>SPEED(MPH)</u> <u>EF</u> 3 1.977

Emfac modeling assumptions based on Caltrans-recommended screening defaults (Caltrans 1997).

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): PACIFIC ST & DEL MAR/DOMINGUEZ PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM





PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED N SOURCE CONTRIBU	MOBILE- CO JTION				
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
PM PEAK HOUR												
	Primary Road	2	14.00	7.60	2626.00	1.977	0.73	0.39				
	Secondary Road	2	3.70	2.70	1198.00	1.977	0.09	0.06	2.6	1.9	3.4	2.2
	,									CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	20.0 NO	9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines. Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): PACIFIC ST AND FARRON ST PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM

РМ



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATEI SOURO CONTRI	D MOBILE- CE CO BUTION				
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	<u>AT 25 FEET</u>	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
РМ	Primary Road	4	11.90	7.00	3844.00	1.977	0.90	0.53				
	Secondary Road	2	3.70	2.70	842.00	1.977	0.06	0.04	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	3.6 20.0 NO	2.3 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines. Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.
INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): ROCKLIN RD AND SIERRA COLLEGE BLD PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM

PM PEAK-HOUR TRAFFIC:



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFERENC	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED I SOURCE CONTRIBL	MOBILE- CO JTION				
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
PM PEAK HOUR	Primary Road	6	9.50	6.10	4257.00	1.977	0.80	0.51				
	Secondary Road	6	2.80	2.30	2583.00	1.977	0.14	0.12	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	3.5 20.0 NO	2.3 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines. Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): SUNSET BL AND SPRINGVIEW DR PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM

(NOT USED)



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED I SOURCE CONTRIBL	MOBILE- CO JTION				
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE		HIGHEST TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR <u>CONC</u>	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR <u>CONC</u>
(NOT USED)	Primary Road	8	8.50	5.70	4905.00	1.977	0.82	0.55				
	Secondary Road	4	3.30	2.60	1554.00	1.977	0.10	0.08	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	3.5 20.0 NO	2.3 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): SUNSET BL AND WHITNEY BL PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN				ESTIMATED SOURCI CONTRIB	MOBILE- E CO UTION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	HIGHEST TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR <u>CONC</u>	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR <u>CONC</u>
PM PEAK HOUR	Primary Road	4	11.90	7.00	4822.00	1.977	1.13	0.67				
	Secondary Road	4	3.30	2.60	1132.00	1.977	0.07	0.06	2.6	1.9 CAAQS:	3.8 20.0	2.4 9.0

EXCEEDS:

NO

NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data. 1-hour concentrations are calculated at the roadway edge. 8-hr concentrations are calculated at 25 feet and assume a persistence factor of 0.7.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): BLUE OAKS BL AND LONETREE PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM

ΡM



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED SOURC CONTRIE	D MOBILE- CE CO BUTION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	<u></u> <u>AT 25 FEET</u>	HIGHEST TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
PM	Primary Road	4	11.90	7.00	3910.00	1.977	0.92	0.54				
	Secondary Road	2	3.70	2.70	3007.00	1.977	0.22	0.16	2.6	1.9 CAAQS:	3.7 20.0	2.4 9.0

EXCEEDS:

NO

NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data. 1-hour concentrations are calculated at the roadway edge. 8-hr concentrations are calculated at 25 feet and assume a persistence factor of 0.7.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): SUNSET BL AND ATHERTON PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM

РМ



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED SOURCE CONTRIBL	MOBILE- E CO JTION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> <u>ROADWAY</u> <u>EDGE</u>	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
РМ	Primary Road Secondary Road	4 4	11.90 3.30	7.00 2.60	3918.00 1301.00	1.977 1.977	0.92 0.08	0.54 0.07	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	3.6 20.0 NO	2.3 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): SUNSET AND WEST OAKS BL PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFERENC				ESTIMATED M SOURCE CONTRIBU	IOBILE- CO TION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> <u>TRAFFIC</u> <u>VOLUME</u>	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR <u>CONC</u>	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
PM PEAK HOUR	Primary Road	6	9.50	6.10	4062.00	1.977	0.76	0.49				
	Secondary Road	6	2.80	2.30	1894.00	1.977	0.10	0.09	2.6	1.9 CAAQS:	3.5 20.0	2.3 9.0

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): W STANFORD RANCH RD AND SUNSET BL PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM

PM PEAK-HOUR TRAFFIC:



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED SOURCE CONTRIB	MOBILE- E CO UTION				
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	<u>AT 25 FEET</u>	<u>HIGHEST</u> <u>TRAFFIC</u> <u>VOLUME</u>	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR <u>CONC</u>	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
PM PEAK HOUR	Primany Road	6	0.50	6 10	3547.00	1 077	0.67	0.43				
	Secondary Road	6	2.80	2.30	2404.00	1.977	0.87	0.43	2.6	1.9	3.4	2.3
										CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	20.0 NO	9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): STANFORD RANCH RD AND CREST DR PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM





PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED N SOURCE CONTRIBU	IOBILE- CO TION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
PM PEAK HOUR	Primary Road Secondary Road	6 6	9.50 2.80	6.10 2.30	1954.00 1386.00	1.977 1.977	0.37 0.08	0.24 0.06	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	3.0 20.0 NO	2.1 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): ROCKLIN RD AND I-80 EB PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED M SOURCE CONTRIBU	IOBILE- CO TION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
PM PEAK HOUR	Primary Road Secondary Road	6 6	9.50 2.80	6.10 2.30	3996.00 1205.00	1.977 1.977	0.75 0.07	0.48 0.05	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	3.4 20.0 NO	2.3 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): ROCKLIN RD AND I-80 WB PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED M SOURCE CONTRIBU	IOBILE- CO TION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>Highest</u> <u>Traffic</u> <u>Volume</u>	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
PM PEAK HOUR	Primary Road	6	9.50	6.10	4294.00	1.977	0.81	0.52				
	Secondary Road	2	3.70	2.70	1377.00	1.977	0.10	0.07	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	3.5 20.0 NO	2.3 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): SIERRA COLLEGE AND TAYLOR RD PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM





PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED M SOURCE CONTRIBU	10BILE- CO TION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
РМ	Primary Road Secondary Road	6 2	9.50 3.70	6.10 2.70	3653.00 1754.00	1.977 1.977	0.69 0.13	0.44 0.09	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	3.4 20.0 NO	2.3 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): TAYLOR RD AND HORSESHOE BAR PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM





PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFERENC				ESTIMATED M SOURCE CONTRIBU	IOBILE- CO TION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>HIGHEST</u> <u>TRAFFIC</u> <u>VOLUME</u>	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR <u>CONC</u>	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
PM PEAK HOUR	Primary Road	6	9.50	6.10	2046.00	1.977	0.38	0.25		_		
	Secondary Road	4	3.30	2.60	1277.00	1.977	0.08	0.07	2.6	1.9 CAAQS:	3.1 20.0	2.1 9.0

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): PLEASANT GROVE AND FAIRWAY PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFERENCE CO CONC			ESTIMATED M SOURCE CONTRIBU	IOBILE- CO TION					
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	<u></u> <u>AT 25 FEET</u>	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR <u>CONC</u>	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR <u>CONC</u>
PM PEAK HOUR	Primary Road	2	14.00	7.60	5390.00	1.977	1.49	0.81				
	Secondary Road	2	3.70	2.70	2937.00	1.977	0.21	0.16	2.6	1.9	4.3	2.6
										CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	20.0 NO	9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): PLEASANT GROVE AND ROSEVILLE PK PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED M SOURCE CONTRIBU	10BILE- CO TION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	<u>AT 25 FEET</u>	<u>HIGHEST</u> <u>TRAFFIC</u> <u>VOLUME</u>	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR <u>CONC</u>	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR <u>CONC</u>
PM PEAK HOUR	Primary Road	8	8.50	5.70	5812.00	1.977	0.98	0.65		_		
	Secondary Road	4	3.30	2.60	5356.00	1.977	0.35	0.28	2.6	1.9	3.9	2.6
										CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	20.0 NO	9.0 NO

EAST

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): GALLERIA AND ROSEVILLE PK PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM

РМ



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED I SOURCE CONTRIBL	MOBILE- CO JTION				
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	<u></u>	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC <u>VOLUME</u>	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR <u>CONC</u>	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR <u>CONC</u>
PM	Primary Road	6	9.50	6.10	6214.00	1.977	1.17	0.75				
	Secondary Road	2	3.70	2.70	4290.00	1.977	0.31	0.23	2.6	1.9	4.1	2.6
										CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	20.0 NO	9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

INTERSECTION (N-S/E-W): ROSEVILLE PK AND N. SUNRISE PROJECT SCENARIO: CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR PM

РМ



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED M SOURCE CONTRIBU	10BILE- CO TION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	AT 25 FEET	<u>Highest</u> <u>Traffic</u> <u>Volume</u>	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
РМ	Primary Road Secondary Road	6 6	9.50 2.80	6.10 2.30	6470.00 2467.00	1.977 1.977	1.22 0.14	0.78 0.11	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	4.0 20.0 NO	2.5 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.



РМ



PREDICTED CO CONCENTRATIONS

			REFEREN	CE CO CONC			ESTIMATED SOURCE CONTRIBL	MOBILE- E CO JTION	_			
PEAK HOUR		NUMBER OF LANES	<u>AT</u> ROADWAY EDGE	<u>AT 25 FEET</u>	<u>HIGHEST</u> TRAFFIC VOLUME	MOBILE- SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS	<u>1-HR</u>	<u>8-HR</u>	BACKGROUND	BACKGROUND 8-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 1-HR CONC	PREDICTED TOTAL 8-HR CONC
РМ	Primary Road Secondary Road	6 6	9.50 2.80	6.10 2.30	4211.00 1524.00	1.977 1.977	0.79 0.08	0.51 0.07	2.6	1.9 CAAQS: EXCEEDS:	3.5 20.0 NO	2.3 9.0 NO

NOTES:

CO methodology derived from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 1999. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.

Emission factors derived from Emfac2007 for year 2030 conditions.

Background concentrations based on the highest measured concentration at the nearest air quality monitoring staiton for the last three years of available data.

Page: 1 5/1/2009 12:03:52 PM

Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4

Combined Summer Emissions Reports (Pounds/Day)

File Name: C:\Documents and Settings\smyers\Application Data\Urbemis\Version9a\Projects\Rocklin General Plan-Existing Conditions.urb924

Project Name: City of Rocklin General Plan-Existing Conditions

Project Location: Placer County APCD

On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

Summary Report:

AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES							
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	1,489.07	296.22	879.07	0.03	2.51	2.48	365,042.52
OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES							
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	PM2.5	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	2,665.38	3,534.63	30,560.50	26.50	4,593.27	893.79	2,705,230.79
SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISS	ION ESTIMATES						
·	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	PM2.5	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	4,154.45	3,830.85	31,439.57	26.53	4,595.78	896.27	3,070,273.31

5/1/2009 12:03:52 PM		,							
Area Source Unmitigated Detail Report: AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES Summer Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated									
Source	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>		
Natural Gas	22.01	287.90	142.39	0.00	0.55	0.54	363,857.69		
Hearth - No Summer Emissions									
Landscape	132.21	8.32	736.68	0.03	1.96	1.94	1,184.83		
Consumer Products	1,029.15								
Architectural Coatings	305.70	a adam a fa consistentos son	ore many of a spin encoding that diplom	entralise difference of the entralise of	1111-1111-1111-1111-1111-1111-1111-1111-1111	nd folg an investigation of the distribution of the	The mention of the second second second		
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	1,489.07	296.22	879.07	0.03	2.51	2.48	365,042.52		

Area Source Changes to Defaults

Dperational Unmitigated Detail Report:												
OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATE	PERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Summer Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated											
Source	ROG	NOX	со	SO2	PM10	PM25	CO2					
Single family housing	1,141.19	1,447.50	12,786.75	10.95	1,886.29	367.30	1,115,832.52					
Apartments low rise	81.83	107.72	951.58	0.81	140,38	27.33	83,039.39					
Apartments mid rise	255.38	319.28	2,820.38	2.41	416.06	81.02	246,119.55					
Mobile home park	21.12	24.90	219.96	0.19	32.45	6.32	19,195.09					
Retail and Commercial	947.63	1,365.71	11,510.32	10.14	1,768.99	343.95	1,036,496.57					
Industrial	127.78	149.36	1,258.79	1.11	193.46	37.61	113,352.74					
Office	90.45	120.16	1,012.72	0.89	155.64	30.26	91,194.93					
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	2,665.38	3,534.63	30,560.50	26.50	4,593.27	893.79	2,705,230.79					

5/1/2009 12:03:52 PM

Operational Settings:

Does not include correction for passby trips Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips Analysis Year: 2010 Temperature (F): 85 Season: Summer Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

	<u>Sun</u>	nmary of Land l	<u>Jses</u>				
Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT	
Single family housing	2,022.60	8.05	dwelling units	15,855.00	127,632.75	1,091,221.77	
Apartments low rise	3,775.62	11.43	dwelling units	831.00	9,498.33	81,207.88	
Apartments mid rise	297.66	7.20	dwelling units	3,910.00	28,152.00	240,691.15	
Mobile home park	73.33	4.99	dwelling units	440.00	2,195.60	18,771.72	
Retail and Commercial		45.04	1000 sq ft	3,074.60	138,479.99	1,023,782.56	
Industrial		4.96	1000 sq ft	3,053.30	15,144.37	111,962.31	
Office		11.42	1000 sq ft	1,066.90	12,184.00	90,076.30	
					333,287.04	2,657,713.69	
		Vehicle Fleet	Mix				
Vehicle Type	Percer	іt Туре	Non-Cata	lyst	Catalyst	Dies	se
Light Auto		39.8		1.3	98.4	C).:
Light Truck < 3750 lbs		14.2		2.8	88.7	٤	3.5
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs		22.4		0.9	98.7	C).4

enicie Type	Fercent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesei
ight Auto	39.8	1.3	98.4	0.3
ight Truck < 3750 lbs	14.2	2.8	88.7	8.5
ight Truck 3751-5750 lbs	22.4	0.9	98.7	0.4
led Truck 5751-8500 lbs	11.0	0.9	99.1	0.0
ite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	2.6	0.0	73.1	26.9
ite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	44.4	55.6

5/1/2009 12:03:52 PM

Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	22.2	77.8
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	1.2	0.0	0.0	100.0
Other Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Urban Bus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Motorcycle	5.5	67.3	32.7	0.0
School Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Motor Home	1.3	0.0	84.6	15.4

Travel Conditions

		Residential			Commercial			
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commute	Non-Work	Customer		
Urban Trip Length (miles)	10.8	7.3	7.5	9.5	7.4	7.4		
Rural Trip Length (miles)	16.8	7.1	7.9	14.7	6.6	6.6		
Trip speeds (mph)	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0		
% of Trips - Residential	32.9	18.0	49.1					

% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)			
Retail and Commercial	2.0	1.0	97.0
Industrial	2.0	1.0	97.0
Office	2.0	1.0	97.0

Page: 1 5/1/2009 12:04:26 PM

Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4

Combined Winter Emissions Reports (Pounds/Day)

File Name: C:\Documents and Settings\smyers\Application Data\Urbemis\Version9a\Projects\Rocklin General Plan-Existing Conditions.urb924 Project Name: City of Rocklin General Plan-Existing Conditions Project Location: Placer County APCD

On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

Summary Report:

AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES

	ROG	NOx	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>		
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	5,588.43	791.57	22,432.74	69.42	3,579.51	3,445.46	1,062,450.56		
PERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES									
	<u>ROG</u>	<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>		
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	3,112.08	5,063.94	34,757.24	23.64	4,593.27	893.79	2,362,386.83		
SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES									
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>		
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	8,700,51	5.855.51	57,189,98	93.06	8.172.78	4.339.25	3,424,837,39		

5/1/2009 12:04:26 PM							
Area Source Unmitigated Detail Repo	rt:						
AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMA	TES Winter Pounds Pe	er Day, Unmitigate	d				
Source	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
Natural Gas •	22.01	287.90	142.39	0.00	0.55	0.54	363,857.69
Hearth Landscaping - No Winter Emissions	4,231.57	503.67	22,290,35	69.42	3,578.96	3,444.92	698,592.87
Consumer Products	1,029.15						
Architectural Coatings	305.70						
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	5,588.43	791.57	22,432.74	69.42	3,579.51	3,445.46	1,062,450.56

Area Source Changes to Defaults

Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:

OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Winter Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated

Source	ROG	NOX	CO	SO2	PM10	PM25	CO2
Single family housing	1,275.35	2,075.93	14,367.81	9.76	1,886.29	367.30	975,065.37
Apartments low rise	94.91	154.49	1,069.24	0.73	140.38	27.33	72,563.61
Apartments mid rise	281.31	457.89	3,169.11	2.15	416.06	81.02	215,070.50
Mobile home park	21.94	35.71	247.16	0,17	32.45	6.32	16,773.54
Retail and Commercial	1,201.47	1,954.26	13,282.65	9.04	1,768.99	343.95	904,429.05
Industrial	131.39	213.72	1,452.61	0.99	193.46	37.61	98,909.64
Office	105.71	171.94	1,168.66	0.80	155.64	30.26	79,575.12
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	3,112.08	5,063.94	34,757.24	23.64	4,593.27	893.79	2,362,386.83

Page: 3 5/1/2009 12:04:26 PM Operational Settings:

Does not include correction for passby trips Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips Analysis Year: 2010 Temperature (F): 40 Season: Winter Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Summary of Land Uses											
Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT					
Single family housing	2,022.60	8.05	dwelling units	15,855.00	127,632.75	1,091,221.77					
Apartments low rise	3,775.62	11.43	dwelling units	831.00	9,498.33	81,207.88					
Apartments mid rise	297.66	7.20	dwelling units	3,910.00	28,152.00	240,691.15					
Mobile home park	73.33	4.99	dwelling units	440.00	2,195.60	18,771.72					
Retail and Commercial		45.04	1000 sq ft	3,074.60	138,479.99	1,023,782.56					
Industrial		4.96	1000 sq ft	3,053.30	15,144.37	111,962.31					
Office		11.42	1000 sq ft	1,066.90	12,184.00	90,076.30					
					333,287.04	2,657,713.69					

Vehicle Fleet Mix									
Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel					
Light Auto	39.8	1.3	98.4	0.3					
Light Truck < 3750 lbs	14.2	2.8	88.7	8.5					
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs	22.4	0.9	98.7	0.4					
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs	11.0	0.9	99.1	0.0					
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	2.6	0.0	73.1	26.9					
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	44.4	55.6					

Industrial

Office

5/1/2009 12:04:26 PM

Vehicle Fleet Mix									
Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel					
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	22.2	77.8					
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	1.2	0.0	0.0	100.0					
Other Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0					
Urban Bus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Motorcycle	5.5	67.3	32.7	0.0					
School Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0					
Motor Home	1.3	0.0	84.6	15.4					

Travel Conditions

		Residential				
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commute	Non-Work	Customer
Urban Trip Length (miles)	10.8	7.3	7.5	9.5	7.4	7.4
Rural Trip Length (miles)	16.8	7.1	7.9	14.7	6.6	6.6
Trip speeds (mph)	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0
% of Trips - Residential	32.9	18.0	49.1			
% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)						
Retail and Commercial				2.0	1.0	97.0

2.0	1.0
2.0	1.0
2.0	1.0

97.0 97.0 97.0

Page: 1 5/1/2009 12:05:47 PM

Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4

Combined Summer Emissions Reports (Pounds/Day)

File Name: C:\Documents and Settings\smyers\Application Data\Urbemis\Version9a\Projects\Rocklin General Plan-Resultant Conditions.urb924 Project Name: City of Rocklin General Plan-Resultant Conditions Project Location: Placer County APCD On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006 Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

Summary Report:

AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES							
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	2,132.35	484.07	1,276.29	0.05	3.59	3.55	594,196.17
OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES							
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	5,554.28	7,516.47	64,372.81	56.16	9,755.79	1,897.80	5,734,658.98
SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISS	ION ESTIMATES						
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	7,686.63	8,000.54	65,649.10	56.21	9,759.38	1,901.35	6,328,855.15

5/1/2009 12:05:47 PM

Area Source Unmitigated Detail Report:

AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES Summer Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated

Source	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
Natural Gas	35.85	472.59	259.23	0.00	0.89	0.88	592,561.14
Hearth - No Summer Emissions							
Landscape	182.88	11.48	1,017.06	0.05	2.70	2.67	1,635.03
Consumer Products	1,425.37						
Architectural Coatings	488.25						
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	2,132.35	484.07	1,276.29	0.05	3.59	3.55	594,196.17

Area Source Changes to Defaults

Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:									
OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Summer Pounds Per Day, Unmitigated									
Source	ROG	NOX	со	SO2	PM10	PM25	CO2		
Single family housing	1,496.41	1,883.00	16,633.81	14.24	2,453.80	477.81	1,451,545.17		
Apartments low rise	111.47	146.61	1,295.12	1.11	191.05	37.20	1.13,018.46		
Apartments mid rise	365.27	458.92	4,053.94	3.47	598.03	116.45	353,766.17		
Mobile home park	29.47	34,75	306.95	0.26	45.28	8.82	26,785.87		
Retail and Commercial	2,741.15	3,950.51	33,295.18	29.34	5,117.05	994.92	2,998,207.73		
Industrial	213.38	249.42	2,102.17	1.85	323.08	62.82	189,298.66		
Office	597.13	793.26	6,685.64	5.89	1,027.50	199.78	602,036.92		
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	5,554.28	7,516.47	64,372.81	56.16	9,755.79	1,897.80	5,734,658.98		

Page: 3 5/1/2009 12:05:47 PM Operational Settings:

Does not include correction for passby trips Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips Analysis Year: 2010 Temperature (F): 85 Season: Summer Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Summary of Land Uses								
Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT		
Single family housing	2,086.07	7.56	dwelling units	21,962.00	166,032.72	1,419,529.96		
Apartments low rise	3,406.20	11.32	dwelling units	1,142.00	12,927.44	110,525.73		
Apartments mid rise	485.79	7.47	dwelling units	5,417.00	40,464.99	345,963.52		
Mobile home park	102.33	4.99	dwelling units	614.00	3,063.86	26,195.08		
Retail and Commercial		45.04	1000 sq ft	8,893.70	400,572.26	2,961,430.72		
Industrial		4.96	1000 sq ft	5,099.00	25,291.04	186,976.66		
Office		11.42	1000 sq ft	7,043.30	80,434.48	594,652.13		
					728,786.79	5,645,273.80		

.. ...

Vehicle Fleet Mix								
Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel				
Light Auto	39.8	1.3	98.4	0.3				
Light Truck < 3750 lbs	14.2	2.8	88.7	8.5				
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs	22.4	0.9	98.7	0.4				
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs	11.0	0.9	99.1	0.0				
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	2.6	0.0	73.1	26.9				
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	44.4	55.6				

5/1/2009 12:05:47 PM

Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel								
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	22.2	77.8								
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	1.2	0.0	0.0	100.0								
Other Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0								
Urban Bus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
Motorcycle	5.5	67.3	32.7	0.0								
School Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0								
Motor Home	1.3	0.0	84.6	15.4								

Travel Conditions

		Residential			Commercial			
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commute	Non-Work	Customer		
Urban Trip Length (miles)	10.8	7.3	7.5	9.5	7.4	7.4		
Rural Trip Length (miles)	16.8	7.1	7.9	14.7	6.6	6.6		
Trip speeds (mph)	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0		
% of Trips - Residential	32.9	18.0	49.1					
% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)								

Retail and Commercial	2.0	1.0	97.0
Industrial	2.0	1.0	97.0
Office	2.0	1.0	97.0

.

. .

Page: 1 5/1/2009 12:05:58 PM

Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4

Combined Winter Emissions Reports (Pounds/Day)

File Name: C:\Documents and Settings\smyers\Application Data\Urbemis\Version9a\Projects\Rocklin General Plan-Resultant Conditions.urb924 Project Name: City of Rocklin General Plan-Resultant Conditions

Project Location: Placer County APCD

On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

Summary Report:

AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES								
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	PM10	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>	
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	7,810.22	1,170.18	31,131.52	96.15	4,957.77	4,772.12	1,560,131.12	
OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES								
	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	PM10	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>	
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	6,615.91	10,763.73	73,608.70	50.08	9,755.79	1,897.80	5,006,421.02	
SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES								

e de la construcción de la constru	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	14,426.13	11,933.91	104,740.22	146.23	14,713.56	6,669.92	6,566,552.14

Page:	2

5/1/2009 12:05:58 PM							
Area Source Unmitigated Detail Report							
AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATI	ES Winter Pounds Pe	er Day, Unmitiga	ted				
Source	ROG	NOx	<u>co</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
Natural Gas	35.85	472.59	259.23	0.00	0.89	0.88	592,561.14
Hearth	5,860.75	697.59	30,872.29	96.15	4,956.88	4,771.24	967,569.98
Landscaping - No Winter Emissions	ndar-dagi meleriyan meringan serin.	u nameči ti servalne s ni dela	Sanatasat (j. anto) antantosangunatos	Maranda (Lankskal Hilling), nadisk	, eta kele konzen era en konzen da.	ar dhe i ear i e fe ta teanaiche facht ei	nu ép, az sol sere seperatoris.
Consumer Products	1,425.37						
Architectural Coatings	488.25	alan an taon an	ur (mindi Servillani Anzalonali Antili Servilla Anal)	n handwar on samt die staar wat die	er non se neer for nij bij út ste skrinde	. Barring - Harring Control of Second	antinantin'i Arthuration
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	7,810.22	1,170.18	31,131.52	96.15	4,957.77	4,772.12	1,560,131.12
	Ar	ea Source Chan	ges to Defaults				
Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:		ter en fan fan fan fan ster ster ster ster ster ster ster ster					
OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATE	ES Winter Pounds Pe	r Day, Unmitigal	ed				
0	Ree	NOV	22	600	DMdo	DM05	000

Source	RUG	NOX	CO	502	PINTU	PMZ5	602
Single family housing	1,659.06	2,700.50	18,690.55	12.70	2,453.80	477.81	1,268,426.40
Apartments low rise	129.18	210.26	1,455.26	0.99	191.05	37.20	98,760.69
Apartments mid rise	404.34	658.16	4,555.20	3.10	598.03	116.45	309,137.02
Mobile home park	30.62	49.83	344.90	0.23	45.28	8.82	23,406.72
Retail and Commercial	3,475.42	5,652.96	38,421.87	26.16	5,117.05	994.92	2,616,184.40
Industrial	219.43	356.91	2,425.85	1.65	323,08	62.82	165,178.75
Office	697.86	1,135.11	7,715.07	5.25	1,027.50	199.78	525,327.04
TOTALS (lbs/day, unmitigated)	6,615.91	10,763.73	73,608.70	50.08	9,755.79	1,897.80	5,006,421.02
A 19 CONTRACTOR OF A							

Page: 3 5/1/2009 12:05:58 PM Operational Settings:

Does not include correction for passby trips Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips Analysis Year: 2010 Temperature (F): 40 Season: Winter Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Summary of Land Uses									
Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT			
Single family housing	2,086.07	7.56	dwelling units	21,962.00	166,032.72	1,419,529.96			
Apartments low rise	3,406.20	11.32	dwelling units	1,142.00	12,927.44	110,525.73			
Apartments mid rise	485.79	7.47	dwelling units	5,417.00	40,464.99	345,963.52			
Mobile home park	102.33	4.99	dwelling units	614.00	3,063.86	26,195.08			
Retail and Commercial		45.04	1000 sq ft	8,893.70	400,572.26	2,961,430.72			
Industrial		4.96	1000 sq ft	5,099.00	25,291.04	186,976.66			
Office		11.42	1000 sq ft	7,043.30	80,434.48	594,652.13			
					728,786.79	5,645,273.80			

Vehicle Fleet Mix								
Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel				
Light Auto	39.8	1.3	98.4	0.3				
Light Truck < 3750 lbs	14.2	2.8	88.7	8.5				
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs	22.4	0.9	98.7	0.4				
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs	11.0	0.9	99.1	0.0				
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	2.6	0.0	73.1	26.9				
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	44.4	55.6				

5/1/2009 12:05:58 PM

Vehicle Fleet Mix									
Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel					
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	22.2	77.8					
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	1.2	0.0	0.0	100.0					
Other Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0					
Urban Bus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Motorcycle	5.5	67.3	32.7	0.0					
School Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0					
Motor Home	1.3	0.0	84.6	15.4					

Travel Conditions

	Residential				Commercial		
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commute	Non-Work	Customer	
Urban Trip Length (miles)	10.8	7.3	7.5	9.5	7.4	7.4	
Rural Trip Length (miles)	16.8	7.1	7.9	14.7	6.6	6.6	
Trip speeds (mph)	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
% of Trips - Residential	32.9	18.0	49.1				
% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)							
Retail and Commercial				2.0	1.0	97.0	
Industrial				2.0	1.0	97.0	

2.0

1.0

97.0

Office

APPENDIX B-2

"Mitigation for Air Quality Impacts" Form

MITIGATION FOR AIR QUALITY IMPACTS

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the California Air Resources Board (CARB) have established air quality standards, referred to as the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and the State Ambient Air Quality Standards (SAAQS), respectively. The federal Clean Air Act and State Clean Air Act both require that areas in violation of the ambient air quality standards adopt strategies to attain these standards. The Placer County Air Pollution Control District (PCAPCD) has primary responsibility for planning and maintenance and/or attainment of air quality standards within Placer County. California is divided up into 15 air basins for the purpose of monitoring air quality. Western Placer County is included in the Sacramento Valley Air Basin. Areas may be classified as attainment, non-attainment, or unclassified with regard to the adopted standards. The unclassified designation is assigned in cases where monitoring data is insufficient to make a definitive determination. Under the federal standards, western Placer County, including Rocklin, is designated as non-attainment for ozone and particulate matter (PM2.5). All other pollutants are designated unclassified or attainment in Rocklin. Under the state standards, western Placer County, including Rocklin, is designated as non attainment for ozone and particulate matter (PM10) and unclassified or attainment for all other pollutants.

The project would have the following short-term construction impacts, if not mitigated:

a. Construction activities, including grading, would generate a variety of pollutants, the most significant of which would be dust (particulate matter). This would exacerbate the existing particulate matter non-attainment condition if not mitigated.

b. Construction equipment would produce short-term combustion emissions, and asphalt materials used for streets and driveways would produce pollutants during curing.

The mitigation measures listed below will reduce the short term impacts to less-thansignificant. In the long-term, vehicle trips to and from the project site would generate Carbon Monoxide and ozone precursor emissions, thereby contributing to the nonattainment status of the local air basin. These incremental and cumulative adverse air quality impacts cannot be completely mitigated. However, these impacts were anticipated by the City of Rocklin General Plan, and were addressed through the 1991 Rocklin General Plan EIR and North Rocklin Circulation and Traffic Study. Findings of overriding significance were adopted for the unmitigatable an unavoidable significant air quality impacts.

Therefore, I, as the applicant for the proposed project, agree that the mitigation measures listed below are incorporated into the project description to mitigate for the short term impacts.

Mitigations

1. The project shall conform with the rules, regulations and requirements of the Placer County Air Pollution Control District.

2. Prior to commencement of any grading or construction activities, the applicant shall submit a Construction Emission/Dust Control Plan to the Placer County Air Pollution Control District (PCAPCD). The plans shall specify measures to reduce dust and construction emissions during all phases of construction. The applicant shall provide written evidence, provided by PCAPCD, to the City of Rocklin that the plan has been submitted to the PCAPCD. It is the responsibility of the applicant to deliver the approved plan to the City of Rocklin. The applicant shall not break ground prior to receiving PCACPD or City Engineer approval of the Construction Emission/Dust Control Plan, and providing evidence of that approval to the City of Rocklin.

3. Traffic speeds on all unpaved road surfaces shall be posted at 15 m.p.h. or less.

4. All grading operations shall be suspended when wind speeds exceed 25 m.p.h.

5. All trucks leaving the site shall be washed off to eliminate dust and debris.

6. All construction equipment shall be maintained in clean condition.

7. All exposed surfaces shall be revegetated as quickly as feasible.

8. If fill dirt is brought to the construction site, tarps or soil stabilizers shall be placed on the dirt piles to minimize dust problems.

9. Apply water or dust palliatives on all exposed earth surfaces as necessary to control dust. Construction contracts shall include dust control treatment as frequently as necessary to minimize dust.

10. Construction equipment shall be properly maintained and tuned.

11. During construction, the contractor shall utilize existing power sources (e.g., power poles) or clean fuel (i.e., gasoline, biodiesel, natural gas) generators rather than temporary diesel power generators.

12. During construction, no open burning of removed vegetation shall be allowed.

Applicant's Name (printed)

Applicant's Signature
APPENDIX B-3

Placer County Air Pollution Control District and State Rule Based Requirements (Grading/Construction)

R1 Construction equipment exhaust emissions shall not exceed PCAPCD Rule 202 Visible Emission limitations. Operators of vehicles and equipment found to exceed opacity limits are to be immediately notified by PCAPCD to cease operations and the equipment must be repaired within 72 hours. (*Based on PCAPCD Rule 202*)

R2 The contractor shall suspend all grading operations when fugitive dust exceeds Placer County APCD Rule 228 (Fugitive Dust) limitations. The prime contractor shall be responsible for having an individual who is CARB-certified to perform Visible Emissions Evaluations (VEE). This individual shall evaluate compliance with Rule 228 on a weekly basis. It is to be noted that fugitive dust is not to exceed 40% opacity and not go beyond the property boundary at any time. Lime or other drying agents utilized to dry out wet grading areas shall not exceed PCAPCD Rule 228 Fugitive Dust limitations. Operators of vehicles and equipment found to exceed opacity limits will be notified by PCAPCD and the equipment must be repaired within 72 hours. (*Based on PCAPCD Rule 228*)

R3. The prime contractor shall be responsible for keeping adjacent public thoroughfares clean of silt, dirt, mud, and debris, and shall "wet broom" the streets (or use another method to control dust as approved by the individual jurisdiction) if silt, dirt, mud or debris is carried over to adjacent public thoroughfares. (*Based on PCAPCD Rule 228/section 401.5*)

R4. During construction, traffic speeds on all unpaved surfaces shall be limited to 15 miles per hour or less. (*Based on PCAPCD Rule 228/section 401.2*)

R5. a). In order to minimize wind driven dust during construction, the prime contactor shall apply methods such as surface stabilization, establishment of a vegetative cover, paving, (or use another method to control dust as approved by the individual jurisdiction). b). The prime contractor shall suspend all grading operations when wind speeds (including instantaneous gusts) are excessive and dust is impacting adjacent properties. (*Based on APCD Rule 228/section 402*)

R6. The contractor shall apply water or use other method to control dust impacts offsite. Construction vehicles leaving the site shall be cleaned to prevent dust, silt, mud, and dirt from being released or tracked off-site. (*Based on PCAPCD Rule 228/section 401.1*, *401.4*)

R7. To limit the quantity of volatile organic compounds in architectural coatings supplied, sold, offered for sale, applied, solicited for application, or manufactured for use

within the District, all projects must comply with PCAPCD Rule 218. Please see the PCAPCD website for additional information. (*Based on PCAPCD Rule 218*)

R8. In order to limit the emission of nitrogen oxides (NOx) from natural gas-fired water heaters, all projects that utilize gas fired water heaters must comply with Rule 246. (*Based on PCAPCD Rule 246*).

R9. During construction, no open burning of removed vegetation shall be allowed unless permitted by the PCAPCD. All removed vegetative material shall be either chipped on site or taken to an appropriate recycling site, or if a site is not available, a licensed disposal site. (*Based on PCAPCD Rule 310*)

R10. A person shall not discharge into the atmosphere volatile organic compounds (VOC's) caused by the use or manufacture of Cutback or Emulsified asphalts for paving, road construction or road maintenance, unless such manufacture or use complies with the provisions Rule 217. (*Based on PCAPCD Rule 217*).

R11. Processes that discharge 2 pounds per day or more of air contaminants, as defined by Health and Safety Code Section 39013, to the atmosphere may require a permit. **Permits may be required for both construction and operation.** Developers/contractors should contact the District prior to construction and obtain any necessary permits prior to the issuance of a Building Permit. (*Based on the California Health & Safety Code section39013*:http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-

bin/displaycode?section=hsc&group=39001-40000&file=39010-39060

NOTE: For complete listing of APCD Rules please visit: http://www.placer.ca.gov/Departments/Air/Rules.aspx

Placer County Air Pollution Control District, State and Federal Rule Based Requirements (Operational)

R1B. Prior to building permit approval, in accordance with District Rule 225, only U.S. EPA Phase II certified wood burning devices shall be allowed in single-family residences. The emission potential from each residence shall not exceed a cumulative total of 7.5 grams per hour for all devices. Masonry fireplaces shall have either an EPA certified Phase II wood burning device or shall be a U.L. Listed Decorative Gas Appliance. (*Based on PCAPCD Rule 225*).

R2B. Wood burning or Pellet appliances shall not be permitted in multi-family developments. Only natural gas or propane fired fireplace appliances are permitted. These appliances shall be clearly delineated on the Floor Plans submitted in conjunction with the Building Permit application. (*Based on PCAPCD Rule 225, section 302.2*).

R3B. Pursuant to the Placer County Air Pollution Control District Rule 501, General Permit Requirements, the proposed project may need a permit from the District prior to construction. In general, any engine greater than 50 brake horsepower or any boiler with heat greater than 1,000,000 Btu per hour will need a permit issued by the District. Please contact with the District for permit requirements. (*Based on PCAPCD Rule 501*).

R4B. The demolition or remodeling of any structure may be subject to the National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS) for Asbestos. This may require that a structure to be demolished be inspected for the presence of asbestos by a certified asbestos inspector, and that all asbestos materials are removed prior to demolition. For more information, call the California Air Resources Board at (916) 916) 322-6036 or the U. S. EPA at (415) 947-8704. (*Based on Calif. Code Regulations, Title 22:* http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Regulations/Title14/ch35.htm and Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40: http://www.ncdot.org/doh/preconstruct/ps/word/SP2R10.doc

R5B. Processes that discharge 2 pounds per day or more of air contaminants, as defined by Health and Safety Code Section 39013, to the atmosphere may require a permit. **Permits are required for both construction and operation.** Developers/contractors should contact the District prior to construction and obtain any necessary permits prior to the issuance of a Building Permit. (*Based on the California Health & Safety Code section 39013:* http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgibin/displaycode?section=hsc&group=39001-40000&file=39010-39060

> NOTE: For complete listing of APCD Rules please visit: http://www.placer.ca.gov/Departments/Air/Rules.aspx

APPENDIX B-4

Master Mitigation List

(A "menu" of potential mitigations to be considered during environmental review.)

- If required by the Engineering Division and/or the Department of Public Works, the contractor shall hold a preconstruction meeting prior to any grading activities. The contractor shall invite the Placer County APCD to the pre-construction meeting in order to discuss the construction emission/dust control plan with employees and/or contractors.
- In order to control dust, operational watering trucks shall be utilized. In addition, dry, mechanical sweeping is prohibited. Watering of a construction site shall be carried out in compliance with all pertinent PCAPCD rules.
- Prior to building permit approval, the applicant shall show, on the plans submitted to the Building Department, provisions for construction of new residences, and where natural gas is available, the installation of a gas outlet for use with outdoor cooking appliances, such as a gas barbecue or outdoor recreational fire pits.
- The prime contractor shall submit to the District a comprehensive inventory (i.e. make, model, year, emission rating) of all the heavy-duty off-road equipment (50 horsepower of greater) that will be used in aggregate of 40 or more hours for the construction project. If any new equipment is added after submission of the inventory, the prime contractor shall contact the PCAPCD prior to the new equipment being utilized. At least three business days prior to the use of subject heavy-duty offroad equipment, the project representative shall provide the District with the anticipated construction timeline including start date, name, and phone number of the property owner, project manager, and on-site foreman.
- Prior to approval of Grading or Improvement Plans, whichever occurs first, the applicant shall provide a written calculation to the Placer County APCD for approval by the District demonstrating that the heavy-duty (> 50 horsepower) offroad vehicles to be used in the construction project, including owned, leased and subcontractor vehicles, will achieve a project wide fleet-average 20 percent NOx reduction and 45 percent particulate reduction as required by CARB. . Acceptable options for reducing emissions may include use of late model engines, low emission diesel products, alternative fuels, engine retrofit technology, aftertreatment products, and/or other options as they become available. The following link shall be used to calculate compliance with this condition and shall be County submitted to the Placer APCD as described above: http://www.airquality.org/ceqa/ (click on the current "Roadway Construction Emissions Model").
- The proposed project will contribute to significant cumulative air quality impacts occurring within the City of Rocklin and Placer County. In order to mitigate the

projects contribution to long-term emission of pollutants, the applicant shall either:

a. (Establish mitigation **on-site** by incorporating design features within the project. This may include, but not be limited to: "green" building features such solar panels, energy efficient heating and cooling, exceeding Title 24 standards, bike lanes, bus shelters, etc. **NOTE:** The specific amounts of "credits" received shall be established and coordinated through the Placer County Air Pollution Control District.

b. Establish mitigation **off-site** within the same region (i.e. east or west Placer County) by participating in an offsite mitigation program, coordinated through the Placer County Air Pollution Control District. Examples include, but are not limited to participation in a "Biomass" program that provides emissions benefits; retrofitting, repowering, or replacing heavy duty engines from mobile sources (i.e. busses, construction equipment, on road haulers); or other program that the project proponent may propose to reduce emissions.

c. Participate in the Placer County Air Pollution District Offsite Mitigation Program by paying a fee to achieve the desired emissions reduction. The estimated payment for the proposed project is \$_____ based on \$14,300 per ton for a one year period. The actual amount to be paid shall be determined, and satisfied per current California Air Resource Board guidelines, at the time of (Choose one): [recordation of the Final Map, issuance of a Building Permit].

d. Any combination of a, b, or c, as determined feasible by the Director of PCAPCD.

For those projects which include stationary sources (i.e. gasoline dispensing facility, auto painting, dry cleaning, large HVAC units, etc.), the applicant shall obtain an Authority to Construct (ATC) permit prior to the approval of Improvement Plans, Grading Permit, or Building Permit (whichever occurs first). NOTE: A third party detailed Health Risk Assessment may be required as a part of the permitting process.