# Letter 131

March 3, 2006

Rocklin City Planning Dept. City Hall 3970 Rocklin Rd. Rocklin, CA 95677



Subject: Clover Valley

Gentlemen:

Can you assure me that the development of Clover Valley, in even modest terms, will not impact the current balance of nature? I think not..

Why is it that man in his greed seems justified to destroy pristine beauty for financial gain? Would it not be serving all better for the developer to find a location that was not so beautiful and environmentally important to the survival of the wildlife and preservation of Indian treasures? In so doing the development could enhance a blighted area instead of destroying an already natural preserve.

Would the city consider a bond issue to purchase the property or a special assessment? I would be willing to pay to preserve Clover Valley. We don't need the development. Can we find a way to stop it?

Sincerely,

131-1

Sigrid L. Kristiansen 3540 Saddlepeak Ct. Rocklin, Ca. 95767

916-435-4049

#### LETTER 131: KRISTIANSEN, SIGRID L.

#### **Response to Comment 131-1**

The EIR found that the proposed project would have significant and unavoidable environmental impacts to aesthetics, transportation and circulation, air quality, biologic resources, and geology (see chapter 5, the Statutorily Required Section of the EIR for more details. If the project were approved, the City Council would be required to issue a statement of overriding consideration, acknowledging these impacts and explaining the reasoning behind their determination that the benefits of the proposed project would outweigh the impacts.

Comments regarding the possibility of a bond issue and the commenter's opinions regarding the project do not address the adequacy of the EIR and will be forwarded to the appropriate decision-making bodies.

February 20, 2006

Rocklin City Hall 3790 Rocklin Road

# Letter 132 Rocklin Planning Commission FEB 2 1 2006

Re: Clover Valley

Rocklin, CA 95677

Please do ALL you can to STOP the proposed development in Clover Valley. You along with the City Council are co-caretakers of the city and its business and are now in a position to ensure this beautiful historical area passes unspoiled to future generations. This decision will create an enduring legacy. The City and the Indian Tribes in this area each have a vested interest in preserving Clover Valley.

132-2

132-1

Not only is it wrong to open Clover Valley to development, it is wrong to create a 'mini I-80° running from Sierra College Boulevard to Fiddyment Road. The Park Avenue Pleasant Grove traffic corridor is stressed now and would only become orders of magnitude worse if it were to become a link off Sierra College Boulevard.

132-3

I implore you to make every effort to work toward the goal of stopping any housing, commercial development or roadways in this pristine piece of geography.

Thank you,

Mary Jane Lawler 5859 Devon Dr Rocklin, CA 95765

## LETTER 132: LAWLER, MARY JANE

#### **Response to Comment 132-1**

This comment states the commenter's opinions regarding the project and does not address the adequacy of the EIR.

#### **Response to Comment 132-2**

This comment states the commenter's opinions regarding the project and does not address the adequacy of the EIR.

#### **Response to Comment 132-3**

This comment states the commenter's opinions regarding the project and does not address the adequacy of the EIR.

## Letter 133

February 23, 2006

Planning Commission Citv of Rocklin Rocklin, California

Good Day,

133-1

I'm writing this letter to express my opposition to efforts to develop Clover Valley Lakes. I reached this conclusion after reviewing the following documents:

-Rocklin General Plan Update Preliminary Draft Constraints/Opportunities and Options Report

-Clover Valley Recirculated Draft EIR

-Documents on the SaveCloverVallev.org website

In reviewing these documents several facts stood out that helped me reach my position. Key points include:

-Rocklin has experienced explosive growth which, if unopposed, will result in all available open space in Rocklin being converted to developed uses.

-Surveys of Rocklin residents indicated growth management should be given up most consideration.

-Current plans would remove 7,000+ trees. At a time when we're seeing accelerating global weather changes, the loss of so many trees is not responsible.

-Protection of the archeological sites and fish habitats are also important considerations.

-The Clover Valley Area represents the last large forested area within Rocklin. As a community with more then 18,000 homes already, having the opportunity to create a large park or nature preserve should be considered.

In light of efforts by local Indian Tribes to purchase the land affected by this EIR, thought should be given to supporting this or other community based efforts that would result in Clover Valley Lakes being retain in a natural, undeveloped condition. Short of this, the City may wish to join with other local governments to determine if a regional park would be appropriate for this site.

Thank you for your consideration.

Pierce M. Leavell, MHA, MA, CHE Rocklin Resident Phone: 916-663-6712

# LETTER 133: LEAVELL, PIERCE M., MHA, MA, CHE

## **Response to Comment 133-1**

This comment states the commenter's opinions regarding the proposed project, citing several findings included within the EIR and does not address the adequacy of the EIR. Comment will be forwarded to the appropriate decision-making body.

Planning Department City of Rocklin 3970 Rocklin Road Rocklin, CA 95677



Date: Feb 26<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Subject: Clover Valley EIR 2006 (EIR2004-01)

Our house is next to Park Drive (and Blaydon). The traffic noise is already a problem, with all the vehicles in Whitney Oaks and Springfield developments (up the hill from us) going through next to our house because they have no alternate routes.

The construction of Valley View Parkway, a "connector" between Park Drive and Sierra College Blvd, will worsen the situation by adding 9,000 vehicles traffics a day, according to the EIR. Modifying the intersections (at Park Dr. & Valley View Pkwy, and at Valley View Pkwy and Sierra College Blvd) may reduce bottlenecks at those **points** but won't reduce the traffic problem **along Park Drive**.

If this proposal were to go through, the Clover Valley should build a sound wall along Park Drive where traffic noise may be made worse by this development.

We are not opposed to the development but we are concerned with the traffic re-routed our way, 9,000 of them per day.

Sincerely,

Werna Aguilar Le Ler awrence and Reyna Lee

3013 Duchess Court Rocklin, CA 95677 (916) 624-5998

134-1

# LETTER 134: LEE, LAWRENCE AND REYNA

# **Response to Comment 134-1**

Please refer to the responses to comments 28-1 and 84-1.

rage I OI I

#### **David Mohlenbrok**

## Letter 135

From: JIMLERCH@aol.com

Sent: Friday, March 03, 2006 5:43 PM

To: carolroger@starstream.net

Cc: David Mohlenbrok

Subject: Re: Springfield Traffic Concerns-Clover Valley

#### Roger,

Sorry, but I don't agree with you. One benefit for us in Springfield is if your going to drive to Lake Tahoe or Auburn direction it would be shorter to take the new road to Sierra College Blvd.

Adduin direction it would be shorter to take the new road to sherra conlege bivd. And remember that many of the residents of Rocklin didn't want to see the 800 plus homes in Springfield built for the same arguments you stated. And if you are successful in stopping the development in Clover Valley are you prepared for the possible huge increase in taxes after the city of Rocklin is force to buy that property after the owner sues the city. I guess that property is worth over \$500 million and with Rocklin's population around 55,000 and the low number of property owners divided into that \$500 million is a big bill. Jim

135-1

03/06/2006

# LETTER 135: LERCH, JIM

## **Response to Comment 135-1**

This comment states the commenter's opinions regarding the project and does not address the adequacy of the EIR.

Final EIR Clover Valley LSLTSM June 2007

rage 1 01 1

# Letter 136

#### **David Mohlenbrok**

From:Patricia Lewis [brunobrandybella@sbcglobal.net]Sent:Saturday, March 04, 2006 2:31 PMTo:David Mohlenbrok

Subject: Concerns re: Clover Valley Development

Dear David Mohlenbrok Sr. Planner City of Rocklin

I am a resident of Springfield in Rocklin and I am very deeply concerned with the Clover Valley development that may take place. I live off Park Dr. and being the concerned citizen that I am, I have in the past several months asked many drivers driving on Park to please slow down, and also ask them to please stop going through red lights and stop signs. I have seen this many times and I am conerned with this, especially now,since there will be so much more traffic if Clover Valley builds the 558 homes that are proposed and have access to Park Drive. It will definitely be a driving safety issue as well as congestion, noise and pollution.

Please take the above in consideration. I appreciate the effort.

Patricia Lewis Springfield Resident

03/06/2006

CHAPTER 3.3 - WRITTEN COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

# LETTER 136: LEWIS, PATRICIA

# **Response to Comment 136-1**

Please refer to the responses to comments 28-1 and 84-1.

## Letter 137

#### Liese Loon-Stern 2404 Cody Ct. Rocklin CA 95765

3-11-06.

Ms. Sherri Ochbas 3970 Rocklin Rd. Rochlin, C.A.

Dear Mr. Abbas: Thank you for sending me the environmental impact reptro for the Clover Valley Subdivision project extended review poriod. The lind of the projects impacts as well as the maps relate 100% my opinions and objections to the project. Additionally I rotald like to voice my concern about the savety of the anti-cipaded traffic the project stould lering too.

Sincevely Like Loon-Jiern,

		14	n,		
2			100		19
	MAR	1	3	2006	前個
1	 1.00x.				-1
1	 				

CHAPTER 3.3 - WRITTEN COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

137-1

### LETTER 137: LOON-STERN, LIESE

#### **Response to Comment 137-1**

The commenter states concern regarding the traffic situation in the proposed Clover Valley project. The comment does not include any specific comments related to the EIR. However, it should be noted that, after the implementation of suggested mitigation measures, only one traffic impact was found to be significant and unavoidable. This conclusion was primarily due to the fact that the intersection of Sierra College Boulevard and King Road is outside of the City of Rocklin.

ragerori

# Letter 138

#### **David Mohlenbrok**

From: Michelle Lynam [MKLynam@starstream.net]

Sunday, March 05, 2006 9:13 PM Sent:

To: David Mohlenbrok

Subject: Clover Valley Development

#### Dear Sir,

138-1

When considering the proposed Clover Valley development may I invite you to spend 5 minutes at Pleasant Grove at Park Dr. or Pleasant Grove at Fairway Drive. This is not the life people in Rocklin wish to live. Park Drive currently goes past several schools and parks as well as family neighborhoods and a senior community. The amount of traffic generated by connecting it through Clover Valley will not only jeopardize our quality of life but the safety of thousands of children and senior citizens. I do not believe that this type of development was envisioned by those who call Rocklin home. Thank you for considering the needs of all the citizens of our town - not just the developers with their own financial gain in mind. Sincerely, Michelle Lynam

03/06/2006

# LETTER 138: LYNAM, MICHELLE

# **Response to Comment 138-1**

Please refer to the responses to comments 74-4 and 84-1.